

Becker County Planning Commission
July 13th, 2021

Members Present: Chairman David Blomseth, County Commissioner Larry Knutson, Mary Seaberg, Harvey Aho, Kohl Skalin, Ray Thorkildson, Jeff Moritz, Robert Merritt, and Zoning Director Kyle Vareberg. **Members Absent:** Brian Bestge, Tommy Ailie, Chuck Collins

Chairman David Blomseth called the Planning Commission meeting to order at 6:00 pm. Introductions were given. Becker County Zoning Office Support Specialist Nicole Hultin recorded the minutes.

Ray Thorkildson made a motion to approve the minutes from the June 8th, 2021, meeting. Mary Seaberg second. All members in favor. Motion carried.

Chairman David Blomseth explained the protocol for the meeting and stated that the recommendations of the Planning Commission would be forwarded to the County Board of Commissioners for final action.

New Business:

1. **APPLICANT: Darryl W & Renee S Bergstrom** 36554 SW Height of Land Dr Rochert, MN 56578 **Project Location:** TBD Hubble Pond Rd Rochert, MN 56578 **LEGAL LAND DESCRIPTION:** Tax ID number: 15.0045.000 Section 08 Township 139 Range 039; 8-139-39 PT NW1/4 SE1/4 LESS 2.75AC (15-45-1), LESS 2AC (15-46), LESS 1.2AC (PT 15-47, PARCEL F). **APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:** Request a Conditional Use Permit for mining operations.

Darryl Bergstrom presented the application.

Bergstrom stated that he wanted to use the pit for personal use for his business.

Knutson asked if he would be doing any screening.

Bergstrom stated there would be no screening or crushing.

Brian Stalberger, neighbor, spoke regarding the application. He asked why Bergstrom was getting a permit if it were just for personal use and would there be trucks coming through there all the time. He emphasized his concerns about adding more trucks to the existing high traffic area. He also asked if the gravel pit would be used for sales to others.

Bergstrom stated that nothing from his mining operations would be sold to other contractors, just for his personal business use.

47 Knutson asked what the hours of operation would be.

48

49 Vareberg stated 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

50

51 Seaberg asked Vareberg why Bergstrom needs the permit.

52

53 Vareberg explained that any extraction for the sale of gravel requires a CUP. This
54 includes selling from his personal property to his personal business.

55

56 Testimony Closed.

57

58 Skalin said he does not think this is an unreasonable request.

59

60 **MOTION: Skalin motioned to approve the application as submitted; Aho**
61 **second. All in favor. Motion carried.**

62

63

64 **2. APPLICANT: Jerry & Vicki Kub** PO Box 52 Davenport, ND 58021
65 **Project Location:** 19738 Morton Oaks Rd Audubon, MN 56511 **LEGAL**
66 **LAND DESCRIPTION:** Tax ID number: 17.1007.000 Section 21 Township
67 138 Range 042; MORTON OAKLN BCH 3RD
68 **LOT 3 APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:** Request a
69 Conditional Use Permit for a retaining wall.

70

71 Brian Cooksey with Lakes Area Landscaping presented the application.

72

73 Skalin asked why they were not using rock.

74

75 Cooksey stated that he did discuss it with the homeowners, and while the homeowners
76 would like to create a wall similar to their neighbors, they are open to doing rock.

77

78 Merritt shared his concerns based on the packet received and commented that he did not
79 see a reason for a retaining wall or stairs.

80

81 Moritz commented that there is enough grade where stairs would be appropriate. Moritz
82 does not see the need for a retaining wall but feels it could be done in another fashion
83 such as with rock or appropriate grading. He said if rock is used, he thinks the applicant
84 should use greenery with it.

85

86 Vareberg read one letter of support into record from Jerome Enget.

87

88 Testimony Closed.

89

90 **MOTION: Aho motioned to approve the amended application for a rock wall**
91 **with natural vegetation and fabric. Skalin Second. Roll Call. All in favor.**
92 **Motion carried.**

93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138

3. APPLICANT: Alan Wade & Mary Jane O’Neil 2549 S 36 St Grand Forks, ND 58201 **Project Location:** 48427 Lake of the Valley Rd Ponsford, MN 56575 **LEGAL LAND DESCRIPTION:** Tax ID number: 12.0010.000 Section 04 Township 142 Range 037; S 120' OF GOVT LOT 8 W OF ROAD **APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:** Request a Conditional Use Permit for a retaining wall.

Alan O’Neil presented the application.

Knutson asked if the existing exposed rock is natural rock.

O’Neil confirmed.

Aho asked the location of the proposed steps in comparison to the dock.

O’Neil stated they would be to the right of the dock.

Thorkildson stated concerns about erosion in the non-proposed area of the shoreline.

O’Neil said he could extend the proposal from ninety (90) feet to one-hundred twenty (120) ft.

Testimony Closed.

Seaberg asked to amend the application request to the full one-hundred and twenty (120) feet.

MOTION: Aho motioned to approve the application with the amendment from ninety (90) feet to one-hundred and twenty (120) feet; Moritz second. Roll Call. All in favor. Motion carried.

4. APPLICANT: Joey Stahl 204 Chaffee Ave Amenia, ND 58004 **Project Location:** TBD S Elbow Lake Rd Waubun, MN 56589 **LEGAL LAND DESCRIPTION:** Tax ID number: 25.0193.000 Section 06 Township 142 Range 038; GOVT LOT 10 LESS 5.30 ACRES. Tax ID number: 25.0211.000 Section 07 Township 142 Range 038; NE1/4 OF NE1/4. **APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT:** Request a Conditional Use Permit to operate a Seasonal RV Park.

139 Scot Walz presented the application.
140
141 Vareberg passed out updated plans to the audience.
142
143 Walz shared that the intention is to be open seasonally from Fishing opener till Oct. 1st.
144 He stated that the easement road would not be used to access the RV park.
145
146 Walz discussed they have met with the Environmental Tech panel, and the biggest
147 concern was seeps and springs in the area.
148
149 Walz said Becker County Soil and Water visited the site in May and determined an area
150 that could be a potential wet area, or an area that could potentially have seeps and springs
151 and it was advised to keep development away from that area. As a result, the decision
152 was made to eliminate the boat launch, and change the proposed access to the lake to be
153 above ground steps that would access a dock system.
154
155 Walz said now they are requesting seventeen (17) boat slips as allowed in the DNR
156 model shoreland ordinance for increased setbacks. He also mentioned that there will be
157 one (1) acre of land to be used for trailer and boat storage. There would be no more than
158 one (1) boat per unit allowed.
159
160 Walz mentioned that they have also developed a storm water management plan, so that
161 no runoff goes into the lake.
162
163 Walz also stated that all water lines will be seasonal and blown out in the winter.
164
165 Walz said that thirty (30) to fifty (50) acres will be left in its natural state. He said Stahl
166 wants to leave as many trees as possible.
167
168 Walz mentioned that the stairway is the only structure within one-hundred and fifty (150)
169 feet of the lake.
170
171 Walz also stated that the store will not be open to the public.
172
173 Skalin asked how wide the stairway is going to be.
174
175 Walz said eight (8) feet.
176
177 Reece Peterson, Lake Association President shared his concerns. Primary concerns
178 shared were the flowing wells, destruction of forest area, road conditions, ATV usage,
179 increased taxes to maintain the road, and the number of boat slips being requested.
180
181 Aho asked Peterson if he had spoken with the applicant about any of these issues.
182
183 Peterson said no, he had not as he was not sure how to contact him, and that he hadn't
184 had time since receiving the Notice of Public Hearing.

185
186 William Taylor, neighbor spoke. He stated that he feels this proposal just seems
187 opportunistic. He is concerned about Fire and Police safety issues. He mentioned that
188 resources are already spread thin in that area. He also mentioned concerns with seaplanes
189 landing. Taylor asked about rental rates, Stahl's investment in the project, and about
190 whether Stahl has any history with Elbow Lake.
191
192 Anne Buelow, Owner of Jolly Fisherman Resort spoke. She brought documentation
193 regarding the easement to her property and asked who would be installing the well and
194 septic system.
195
196 Brian Dingmann, Elbow Lake resident spoke and shared concerns about flowing wells
197 and springs. He also expressed concern over invasive species.
198
199 Lynette Peterson, owner of the island on Elbow Lake shared her concerns over the
200 distance of the island from the proposed docks.
201
202 John Klepetka, neighbor spoke and shared his thoughts and concerns.
203
204 Mark Pagnac, Elbow Lake resident shared concerns about boat traffic. He asked if Stahl
205 had ever spent quality time on Elbow Lake. He also asked why forty-nine (49) units, and
206 whether Stahl had considered the impact to the area.
207
208 Gerry Schiller, year-round resident stated that he is really concerned about increased
209 traffic on the road. He also said he is concerned about jet skis on the lake.
210
211 John Wirtz, Elbow Lake Resident spoke about his concerns regarding the potential for
212 excess trash and litter, and his concerns for road and lake safety.
213
214 Tom Trowbridge, Elbow Lake Resident asked for clarification on the zoning being
215 proposed, and his concerns regarding what is being proposed.
216
217 Elaine Klepetka, neighbor shared her concerns about boat traffic in front of her docks.
218
219 Steven Koll, Elbow Lake Resident stated that he does not see any benefit to approving
220 this request.
221
222 Mike Blackburn, Island resident shared concerns about lake congestion and the effects it
223 could have on emergency plane landings.
224
225 Duane Sall, Elbow Lake resident shared his concerns about potential trash and litter and
226 asked if Stahl has any experience running an RV park.
227
228 Don Snobl, owner of Jolly Fisherman Resort, shared his concerns about this proposed
229 application.
230

231 Melissa Dingmann, Elbow Lake resident praised the board for making decisions that
232 protect the lakes. She also shared her concerns for the lake, safety, and wildlife.
233
234 Anne Buelow spoke again and shared that their resort does not allow jet skis and ATVs
235 because of the concerns that people have shared.
236
237 Ron Wilson, area resident shared his concerns about road safety.
238
239 Vareberg read into record the list of names of everyone who mailed in letters:
240
241 Amy and Mike Xu
242 Anne Buelow
243 Amy Olson
244 Barb and Ron Swanson
245 Beth and Greg Porter
246 Beth Peterson
247 Beverly and Dan Motschenbacher
248 Bob and Terri Allen
249 Bob Stein
250 Bradley Dayett and Dione Klepetka
251 Bret and Tracy Holte
252 Bruce and Ann Kindseth
253 Cathy Carlson
254 Chris and Natalie Murch
255 Christa Jones
256 David and Judy Farm
257 Denette Narum
258 Diane Irving
259 Elizabeth Roman
260 Gail Gardner
261 Gary and Becky Remmele
262 Gary Buckley
263 Greg and Tracy Hendrickson
264 Gustave Mellgren
265 Jeffrey and Suzanne Neltner
266 Jerry and Rhonda Lindseth
267 John and Elaine Klepetka
268 John and Pam Annette
269 John Watkin
270 Jon and Laurie Stein
271 Jon Ewen
272 Katherine Spilde
273 Katy Pearson
274 Lynette Peterson
275 Mark and Laurie Pagnac
276 Marueen Pearson

277 maurineo@frontier.com
278 Marv and Judy Gunderson
279 Mike and Sue Rude
280 momcard1@gmail.com
281 Monica LaPoint
282 Nancy Whitecotton
283 Patricia and Marvin Hager
284 Phil Murphy
285 Rande Cardinal
286 Reece and Barb Peterson
287 Richard Henderson
288 Rick Sandstrom
289 Ron and Jane Carlson
290 Sheldon and Mary Schiebe
291 Steven Koll
292 Terrie Bernu
293 Tim Rohloff
294 Timothy O'Keefe
295 Tom and Heather Trowbridge
296 Will and Betty Erickson

297
298 Vareberg also read into the record the main concerns that were presented in the letters:
299

- 300 • Increased Water Traffic
- 301 • Effect on Wildlife
- 302 • Effect/Impact on Lake
- 303 • Risk of Zebra Mussels from Boats Coming to Resort
- 304 • Watershed Impacts
- 305 • Shoreline Disruption
- 306 • Erosion Control
- 307 • Fire-Fighting Impacts – Ability to Access in Emergency
- 308 • Fire Rings and increased Risk of Wildfires
- 309 • Road Regulations and Traffic (Easement?)
- 310 • Road Safety Concerns/Increase in Vehicle Traffic/Potential for ATV Traffic
- 311 • Concerns About Well Size/Flowing Wells/Depletion of Neighboring Wells
- 312 • Concerns about Safety of Septic System Large Enough to Support RV Park
- 313 • Boat Traffic Safety Concerns
- 314 • Concerns About the Docks (How Many and Size)
- 315 • Effect on Enjoyment of Surrounding Properties

- 316 • Significant Tree removal for Project
- 317 • Excess Trash
- 318 • Tax Increases for Road Maintenance
- 319 • Number of Boat Trailers to be stored at a time?
- 320 • Effect on Existing Business (Jolly Fisherman Resort)

321
322 Since there were an abundance of letters received and each member of the Planning
323 Commission had an opportunity to read the letters before the hearing, the letters were not
324 read out loud at the hearing but will be kept and filed in the Becker County Planning and
325 Zoning Office in the applicant's file.

326
327 Scott Walz spoke again to address concerns. Walz assured Buelow that Stahl would work
328 to assure her that she still has easement rights.

329
330 Stahl spoke and shared his history and reasoning for wanting to operate this seasonal RV
331 Park.

332
333 Blomseth asked if ATV's will be allowed.

334
335 Stahl stated that he would like to allow them, but if they are a nuisance and/or the
336 neighbors do not want them, then he would be willing to not allow them.

337
338 Someone online who did not give a name asked Stahl what his experience is with running
339 an RV park.

340
341 Stahl stated that he does not have any experience, but that he has friends and mentors
342 who operate RV Parks that have been giving him advice and helping to guide him in this
343 process.

344
345 Seaberg asked Stahl if he has been in contact with the township regarding the road issues.

346
347 Stahl stated that they have spoken with the township, but the township said they would
348 leave road decisions in the hands of the County.

349
350 Testimony Closed.

351
352 Seaberg asked regarding the updated plan, what is the biggest change.

353
354 Vareberg stated that they took out the path to the lake and will not have a boat launch.

355
356 Skalin asked if the list of changes can be verbally stated.

357

358 Walz stated that they eliminated a boat launch and road access to the lake, they shifted
359 units that were near the lake to the east and moved some of them farther back and
360 changed the access to the lake to just an above ground stair system.

361

362 It was also mentioned that Stahl is now requesting seventeen (17) boat slips instead of the
363 original six (6).

364

365 Vareberg received a legal opinion stating that a CUP would have more control than a
366 variance over docking changes.

367

368 Knutson commented that he would be more comfortable seeing in writing that the
369 Planning Commission can legally make docking changes in a Conditional Use Permit as
370 well as a second opinion.

371

372 Thorkildson stated that he is not in favor of this application.

373

374 Skalin commented on the setbacks being a good distance.

375

376 Thorkildson asked why forty-nine (49) units.

377

378 Vareberg stated that fifty (50) units would trigger an Environmental Assessment
379 Worksheet.

380

381 Moritz asked about contact with the township regarding the road.

382

383 Walz said that townships do not have engineers, so they said they would leave it for the
384 Planning Commission to decide on the Conditional Use Permit, and possibly the County
385 Engineer.

386

387 Knutson commented that the township is the road authority.

388

389 Seaberg stated that they, referencing the Planning Commission, have a duty to listen to
390 the public and their concerns, but commented that each person does have their own
391 agenda. Seaberg asked will they not do the same things that they are saying they do not
392 want Stahl to allow. She also commented that Stahl is trying to meet the ordinance put
393 forth by the County.

394

395 **1. MOTION: Seaberg motioned to approve the application based on the**
396 **following findings:**

397

398 **1. Effect on surrounding property.** That the conditional use will not harm
399 the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the
400 purposes already permitted, nor substantially diminish or impair property
401 values within the immediate vicinity.

402

403 **It is of the Commission to believe through compliance with the Becker**
404 **County Zoning Ordinances and its criteria all potential harm to the use and**
405 **enjoyment of properties has been mitigated through plans submitted by the**
406 **proposer. The projects have been designed with substantial setbacks with**
407 **units being two hundred and forty feet from the ordinary high-water mark of**
408 **Elbow Lake and nearly nine times the required setback from the side yard**
409 **property line. In addition, the closest residential use is over seven hundred**
410 **and fifty feet away. These setbacks and the existing opaque vegetation ensure**
411 **the change in property use can be properly established. No evidence has been**
412 **provided to this Commission to prove any fact for the potential to impair**
413 **property values in the immediate vicinity.**

414
415
416 **2. Effect on orderly, consistent development.** That establishing the conditional
417 use will not impede the normal, orderly development and improvement of
418 surrounding vacant property for uses predominant in the area.

419
420 **The area currently includes multiple land uses to include: an adjacent**
421 **Commercial Resort (Jolly Fisherman Resort), vacant forest and recreational**
422 **lake homes. All required criteria of the Becker County Zoning Ordinance**
423 **have been met and most exceeded, to prevent any potential to impede or**
424 **prevent normal, orderly development and improvements of surrounding**
425 **vacant property for uses predominant in the area. The project has been**
426 **designed and created by a licensed surveyor, engineer, septic contractor,**
427 **along with numerous other professionals to establish an adequate**
428 **development.**

429
430
431 **3. Adequate facilities.** That adequate utilities, access roads, drainage and other
432 necessary facilities have been or are being provided.

433
434 **Required utilities, roads, and all necessary facilities have been mapped on the**
435 **site map and meet the Becker County Zoning Ordinance. All drainage has**
436 **been designed and addressed by the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan**
437 **(SWPPP) provided by Apex Engineering Group.**

438
439 **4. Adequate parking.** That adequate measures have been or will be taken to
440 provide sufficient off-street parking and loading space to serve the proposed
441 **Each unit site is nearly two times the required size by the Becker County**
442 **Zoning Ordinance to ensure adequate parking.**

443

444 **5. Not a nuisance.** That adequate measures have been or will be taken to prevent
445 or control offensive odor, fumes, dust, noise, and vibration, so none of these
446 will constitute a nuisance, and to control lighted signs and other lights so that
447 no disturbance to neighboring properties will result.

448
449 **The proposal is not expected to produce any nuisance odors, fumes, or**
450 **vibration. Any noise created will be mitigated by the dense natural vegetation**
451 **of the project site and dust will be limited to general automotive traffic. Any**
452 **lighting will be constructed in a downward facing direction to limit any**
453 **potential disturbance.**
454

455 **6. Additional criteria for shoreland areas.** In Shoreland areas, it shall be found
456 that adequate measures have been or will be taken to assure that:

457 a. **Pollution.** Soil erosion or other possible pollution of public waters
458 will be prevented, both during and after construction

459
460 **All sites will be located at least 240' from Elbow Lake creating an extensive**
461 **buffer between them and the ordinary high-water mark. Any possible**
462 **pollution will be controlled by the above buffer and the SWPPP completed**
463 **by Apex Engineering Group during and after construction.**
464

465 b. **View from public waters.** That the visibility of structures and
466 other facilities as viewed from public waters will be limited.

467 **Substantial setbacks and a barrier created by dense undisturbed natural**
468 **vegetation will make the project nearly unviewable from the adjacent public**
469 **water known as Elbow Lake.**
470

471 c. **Adequate utilities.** That the site is adequate for water supply and
472 on-site sewage treatment; and

473
474 **Scott Septic Services LLC, a licensed MPCA septic contractor has**
475 **determined the site adequate for on-site sewage treatment. A well driller**
476 **and other contractors have been consulted with as noted.**
477

478 **Watercraft.** That the types, uses, and number of watercrafts that the project will
479 generate can be safely accommodated.
480

481 **The number of watercrafts allowed is regulated by the Becker County**
482 **Zoning Ordinance, Chapter eight, section seven, subsection I.4 and will not**
483 **be exceeded.**

484

485 **Criteria for evaluation of commercial/transient MUD conditional use applications.**
486 Before recommending the approval of the preliminary development plan and conditional
487 use permit for a commercial/transient MUD, the Planning Commission shall find that all
488 the following criteria are satisfied:

489

490 **1. The MUD conforms to the regulations of the land use district in which it is**
491 **proposed to be located;**

492

493 The proposal is located in a general agricultural land use district. A non-farm use located
494 in a general agricultural land use district shall be permitted for the use and enjoyment of
495 lakes, rivers, and streams. It is the intent of the proposed use to utilize Elbow Lake for the
496 enjoyment of boating and fishing.

497

498 **2. The MUD or unit thereof is of sufficient size, composition, and arrangement that**
499 **its construction, marketing, and operation are feasible as a complete unit without**
500 **dependence upon any subsequent unit;**

501

502 The proposal will be located on 50 acres of land. All private utilities will be provided to
503 the site by the applicant.

504

505 **3. The MUD will not create an excessive burden on parks, schools, streets, and other**
506 **public facilities and utilities which serve or are proposed to serve the multi-unit**
507 **development;**

508

509 The MUD has no intent to be associated with any parks or schools, nor do any exist in the
510 immediate vicinity. The project is located on a Public Township Road. The Township has
511 not expressed any concern with the increase in traffic. All utilities necessary for the site
512 will be established by the applicant.

513

514 **4. The minimum area of land to be included in the MUD shall be as designated in**
515 **the land use district in which it is proposed to be located; and (See below)**

516

517 **5. Adequate provisions are developed for preservation and maintenance in**
518 **perpetuity of open spaces and for the continued existence and functioning of the**
519 **development.**

520

521 50 acres and five hundred and thirty of lakeshore have been designated for the RV park.
522 If approved the applicant will request a change of zone from general agricultural to
523 residential. Open space, unit density, setbacks and other regulations have all been
524 followed to ensure a properly functioning development. A storm water pollution

525 prevention plan designed by Apex Engineering group will also be implemented into the
526 park for the benefit of the environment utilizing best management practices.
527

528 **Skalin second. Vareberg clarified that a Change of Zone would be required,**
529 **and they need to stipulate that. Seaberg Motioned to approve the application**
530 **based on the findings above for forty-one (41) units with eight (8) additional**
531 **units being contingent upon a Change of Zone to Residential as well as**
532 **approval for six (6) dock slips; Skalin Second. Roll Call. Skalin, Moritz,**
533 **Seaberg, and Aho in Favor; Thorkildson and Merritt Opposed. Motion**
534 **carried.**

535
536 **5. APPLICANT: Kyle P Vareberg** 19529 330th Ave Detroit Lakes, MN 56501
537 **Project Location:** 19529 330th Ave Detroit Lakes, MN 56501 **LEGAL**
538 **LAND DESCRIPTION:** Tax ID number: 10.0278.000 Section 14 Township
539 139 Range 040; 14-139-40 PT GOVT LOT 3: COMM SW COR SEC 14, N
540 827.52' TO POB; N 490.68', E 157.7' TO PERCH LK, SL AL LK 630.11',
541 WLY 307.34', TO POB. TRACT A. **APPLICATION AND DESCRIPTION**
542 **OF PROJECT:** Request a Conditional Use Permit for a retaining wall.
543
544

545 Kyle Vareberg presented his application.

546
547 Vareberg asked for the record which members came out and viewed his property.

548
549 Aho, Knutson, Seaberg, and Moritz stated that they all visited the property.

550
551 Vareberg stated that he wants to expand further away from the lake than the existing wall.
552 He would like to correct erosion between the existing wall and the house but does want to
553 replace a portion of the existing wall as well.

554
555 Aho asked if Vareberg had put in a catch basin further up the driveway.

556
557 Vareberg said yes, with a culvert. He stated that he is trying to raise the existing area up
558 against the house.

559
560 Skalin asked what type of material.

561
562 Vareberg said rock.

563
564 Testimony closed.

565
566 Moritz commented that what Vareberg has done and wants to do would be good to
567 prevent erosion.
568

569 **MOTION: Aho motioned to approve the application as submitted.; Seaberg second.**
570 **Roll Call. All in favor. Motion carried.**

571

572 **6. Zoning Ordinance Amendments:**

573

574 a) Chapter 6, Section 8: Retaining Walls.

575

576 Vareberg presented this amendment. It is proposed to let applicants who reside in either
577 the Pelican River Watershed or the Cormorant Lakes Watershed districts to obtain a
578 retaining wall permit through their agencies only.

579

580 Moritz stated that at the last Cormorant Lakes Watershed District meeting this was
581 discussed, and they are in support of the change.

582

583 **MOTION: Aho motioned to approve the amendment as presented:** The intent of the
584 amendment is to allow Pelican River and Cormorant Lakes Watershed Districts to be the
585 sole permitting authority for retaining walls within the shore and bluff impact zone.

586

587 **Skalin second. Roll Call. All in favor. Motion carried.**

588

589 **6. Zoning Ordinance Amendments:**

590

591 b) Chapter 4, Section 10, Letter D (2.-d.) Holding Tanks.

592

593 Vareberg presented this amendment.

594

595 It was discussed that number four (4) is redundant and could be removed.

596

597 Seaberg asked for clarification on number five (5) as far as who is reporting to whom.

598

599 Vareberg suggested that number five (5) could be removed as well as it is the
600 responsibility of the pumpers to be in compliance.

601

602 **MOTION: Seaberg motioned to approve the amendment with the additional**
603 **removal of numbers four (4) and (5) as shown:** The intent of the amendment is to
604 allow holding tanks in any scenario and to eliminate the criteria to allow them, to
605 eliminate the bi-weekly pumping requirement and to eliminate the reporting process (due
606 to the fact this is reported to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency).

607

608 **Moritz second. Roll Call. Thorkildson, Skalin, Moritz, Seaberg, and Aho in favor;**
609 **Merritt Opposed. Motion carried.**

610

611

612 **Other Business:**

613

614 I) **Tentative Date for Next Informational Meeting: August 4th, 2021; 8:00 am; 3rd**
615 **Floor Meeting Room in the Becker County Courthouse, Detroit Lakes, MN.**

616
617 **Since there was no further business to come before the Board, Thorkildson made a**
618 **motion to adjourn. Seaberg second. All in favor. Motion carried. The meeting**
619 **adjourned.**

620

621

622 _____
Jeff Moritz, Acting Chairman

_____ **Jeff Moritz, Secretary**

623

624 ATTEST

625

626

627

_____ **Kyle Vareberg, Zoning Administrator**